

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,452	03/08/2001		Darrell Lee Ash	RFMI01-00214	6467	
7.	590	01/15/2004		EXAMINER		
William J. Munck, Esq.				KINKEAD, ARNOLD M		
Docket Clerk	ŕ	•				
P.O. Drawer 800889				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallag TV 75290				2017		

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ (K				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/801,452	ASH, DARRELL LEE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Arnold M Kinkead	2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for	• •	DEDLY IO OFT TO EVOIDE AM	IONTU(O) FDOM				
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION from the mailing date of this communitarion for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto reply within the set or extended period for reply will oly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>RCE 11-17-03</i> .					
,	•	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□ 8	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n of Claims	•					
4)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7-9,11,14-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,10,12,13 and 17</u> is/are o	objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers	,					
9)∐ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·	he oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) <u></u> 1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: □ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
3	application from the Internationa	the priority documents have been Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
13)∏ Ac sin 37	ce a specific reference was included i CFR 1.78.	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. n the first sentence of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) attached an Application Data Sheet.				
	The translation of the foreign langues knowledgment is made of a claim for						
	erence was included in the first senter						
Attachment(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		nformal/Pater/t Application (P/(O-152)				
	Please provide Late for a	NP.L.	1/1/2/00 =				

Application/Control Number: 09/801,452

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-17-03 has been entered. The examiner has initialed the I.D.S. and done an update search in light of the submitted art. The following new rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,7,8,9,11,14-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futterer(U.S. 4,560,951 of record) and further in view of Gu et al(6,426,683 new).

Application/Control Number: 09/801,452

Art Unit: 2817

The reference by Futterer discloses a SAW resonator(see figure 2, and summary) which shows a SAWR with two port differential construct. Note the inductors across the two port circuit (L5,L4), the inductors being designed/specified as balanced inductances, also, a tunable SAW resonator with varactors (D2,D1), albeit hyperabrupt types; the capacitance of these diodes does affect the total capacitance of the equivalent circuit for the SAW. The inductors are coupled to ground. The differential amplifier stage and differential mode SAW resonator shown in figure 2 and common mode rejection is afforded such a construction. The method steps being inherent.

The reference does not specifically disclose inductances provided only to offset stray capacitances. With regards this, it is an obvious design criteria that the inductance chosen for a particular frequency of operation, including the intrinsic stray capacitance and varactor diode tuning, and thus all reactances must be considered to allow for the desired frequency of operation for the oscillator. The tuning out of the stray capacitance will be considered in achieving the total capacitive component for determining the balanced inductances required. The varactor diodes(D1,2) have a control voltage(Us) but it is not clear how much of a role they play in setting frequency.

These conventional ideas are supported by the reference to Gu et al(US 6,426,683) as will be highlighted next.

With regard to the latter idea it is notoriously well known in the art that a resonator maybe made adjustable by adding a varactor that allows for tuning adjustments, see the reference by Gu et al, see figure 5, and col. 5, lines 1-20. The reference by Gu et al discloses a tuning circuit with a SAW resonator(s)(figure 5, 52...) with inductor element(65,67), and varactor(57, variable tuning cap; note this is in series with 52). Low phase noise is achieved by tuning out the stray capacitances with the use of inductor elements. The inductors coupled to ground.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,452

Art Unit: 2817

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the SAW resonator as shown in Futterer to include a varactor with control, as shown by Gu et al, to allow for the tuning of the resonator as desired. Also, the inductors allowing for compensation against the inherent parasitic capacitances.

Allowable Subject Matter

I. Claims 3, 5, 6, 10,12, 13, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The examiner could not find fair suggestion for the first and second resistors as in claims 5 and 12, no center tapped inductor is shown(claims 3,10, 17) load with an impedance lower than the stray capacitance...

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold M Kinkead whose telephone number is 703-305-3486(571-272-1763 as of Jan 13, 2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7724.(Official 703-872-9306)

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed

to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Arnold M Kinkead

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead

Jan. 09-2004